1116) TRANSMITTAL LETTER Docket No. HOY 1 2 20002 (General - Patent Pending) 112713-108 In Re-Application Meluch et al. TRADEN Examiner Serial No. Filing Date Group Art Unit 09/767,558 January 22, 2001 A. Fortuna 1723 Title: MELT-SPUN POLYSULFONE SEMIPERMEBLE MEMBRANES AND METHODS FOR MAKING THE SAME RECEIVED TO THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS: NOV 1 4 2002 Transmitted herewith is: Response to Office Action (4 pgs.); and return receipt postcard. TC 1700 in the above identified application. No additional fee is required. X A check in the amount of is attached. The Assistant Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. 02-1818 as described below. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed. Charge the amount of X Credit any overpayment. Charge any additional fee required. Dated: November 7, 2002 Signature Robert M. Barrett Reg. No. 30,142 BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC I certify that this document and fee is being deposited P.O. Box 1135 on11-7-2002 with the U.S. Postal Service as Chicago, IL 60690-1135 first class mail under 37 C.F.K. 1.8 and is addressed to the Phone: 312-807-4204 Assistant/Commissioner Patents, Washington, D.C. erson Mailing Correspondence Signature of

8/2/

CC:

Robert J. Buccieri

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence



Applicant(s): Meluch et al.

09/767,558

Appl. No.: Filed:

January 22, 2001

Title:

MELT-SPUN POLYSULFONE SEMIPERMEABLE MEMBRANES AND

METHODS FOR MAKING THE SAME

Art Unit:

1723

Examiner:

A. Fortuna

Docket No.:

ALT-5612 CON of DIV I

Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED

NOV 1 4 2002

TC 1700

## **RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION**

Sir:

Please enter the following Response in the above-identified patent application.

## **REMARKS**

In the Office Action, Claims 5-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph; and Claims 1-18 are rejected under 37 C.F.R. § 102 or 103. Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections are improper for the reasons set forth below.

At the outset, Claims 5-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as previously discussed. The Patent Office alleges that Claim 5 is unclear as to whether a membrane made from the claimed composition is intended or as to whether the non-solvent is present in the final membrane.

Contrary to the Patent Office's position, Applicants believe that independent Claim 5 and thus Claims 6-15 which depend from Claim 5 are clear in meaning and scope. Claim 5 specifically requires that the polysulfone semipermeable membrane includes, in part, a mixture of a polysulfone compound, a solvent and a non-solvent. As fully supported in the specification on page 11, if present the concentration of a non-solvent is preferably at least about one weight percent, more preferably at least about five weight percent. Therefore, Applicants believe that Claims 5-15 as presently pending clearly satisfy the requirements pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection be withdrawn.